

The YC W26 health tech field notes: what 22 companies at demo day tell us about where healthcare AI is actually going

MAR 26, 2026 • PAID



Share

Abstract

- YC W26 Demo Day: March 24, 2026, approx. 196 companies total
- Healthcare/Biotech companies in batch: 22 (roughly 11% of batch)
- Batch-wide context: 64% B2B, sub-1% acceptance rate, Rebel Fund estimates 3 W26 companies score in top 20% of all YC companies ever evaluated
- Healthcare median seed: ~\$4.6M vs. \$3.1M batch-wide median
- Beacon Health notable outlier: Accel + Sequoia scout backing, Stanford/Harvard physician co-founder + ex-Amazon Alexa engineer co-founder
- Synthetic Sciences: \$1.4M pre-YC + \$500K standard deal
- Categories observed across the 22 companies: clinical operations/admin automation, primary care AI, drug discovery/computational bio, surgical planning, practice-specific verticals (dental, medspa, infusion), revenue cycle/billing, life sciences infrastructure, language/translation access, wearables, and medico-legal
- Key structural thesis: the batch signals a move from “AI in healthcare” as a concept to AI as the operational substrate of specific care workflows and discovery pipelines

- Three investability tiers proposed: high conviction (Beacon Health, CellType, I Biosciences, Strand AI, Mango Medical), watch list (Origin, Overdrive Health, MochaCare, Eos AI, Prana, Scheduling Wizard, Rhizome AI, 10x Science), and early/niche (Ruma Care, Patientdesk.ai, Tepali, Mantis, O Health, Synthetic Sciences, Fort, OctaPulse, Docura Health, Wayco)

Table of Contents

Setting the Context: This Batch Is Different

The Cluster Map: How to Read 22 Companies

Clinical Operations and the Admin Automation Wave

Primary Care as Platform: The Most Crowded and Most Important Bet

Drug Discovery Goes Computational: The Science-Heavy End of the Batch

Surgical AI and the Procedural Frontier

Practice Verticals: Dental, Medspa, and Infusion

Revenue Cycle and Billing AI: Boring Name, Massive Market

Life Sciences Infrastructure and Research Tooling

The Sleepers and the Long Shots

How to Think About This as an Angel

Setting the Context: This Batch Is Different

Every YC batch gets called the strongest one yet. Usually that's marketing. For V the data is slightly harder to dismiss. Rebel Fund, which has been running a machine learning model against every YC batch since 2013, published something before a

single company presented: 35% of W26 startups scored in the top 20% of all YC companies ever evaluated. No prior batch has gotten close to that number. The distribution curve didn't just shift at the top end – it shifted across the board.

About 196 companies showed up to Demo Day on March 24th. Of those, 22 tag themselves under Healthcare / Biotech in the YC system. That's roughly 11% of the batch, which is a meaningful concentration for an accelerator that historically skews towards B2B SaaS and developer tooling. For context, the batch overall was 64% B2B. Consumer barely registered at around 5%. Healthcare was one of the few categories punching above its historical weight.

That matters for a few reasons. YC acceptance is sub-1% from the application pool. The healthcare companies that make it through the filter are not random. They've been pressure-tested by partners who have now seen hundreds of health tech pitches across a decade-plus of batches. When YC starts concentrating health deals at 10% in a batch, they're making a statement about where they think defensible businesses are being built.

What's also worth noting before getting into the individual companies: the median seed round for YC healthcare startups sits around \$4.6M, compared to \$3.1M batch-wide. That gap is structural. Healthcare takes longer to build, costs more to distribute, and requires regulatory navigation that most other software categories don't. When evaluating these 22 companies, keep that capital intensity reality in mind. A medspa operating system and a drug discovery company are not the same business, even if both are checking the Healthcare / Biotech box on the YC website.

The Cluster Map: How to Read 22 Companies

Before going company by company, it helps to step back and look at what the 22 healthcare companies are actually doing as a group. There's a structure here that's immediately obvious from the one-line descriptions.

Roughly a third of the batch is in clinical operations and administrative automation. These are companies doing scheduling, prior auth, front-office workflow, billing, and care coordination. Another cluster is in primary care delivery itself, which overlaps with admin but extends into actual clinical decision support and autonomous care. A smaller but very interesting cluster is in drug discovery, computational biology, and life sciences research tooling. Then there are the practice-specific verticals covering dental, medspa, and biologic infusion. And at the edges you have a few companies doing things that are genuinely novel and harder to categorize, including digital twins, wearables, multimodal foundation models for patient biology, and AI for medico-legal work.

This structure isn't accidental. It maps almost perfectly to where the friction in healthcare is most acute right now. Administrative costs eat somewhere between 30% of total U.S. healthcare spend depending on whose estimate you use. Primary care is in a documented access crisis. Drug discovery timelines are embarrassingly long relative to what AI should be able to do. Practice management in specialty verticals is historically fragmented. YC's batch, whether intentionally or just as a function of where talented founders are gravitating, has built a pretty coherent map of the highest-value pain points.

Clinical Operations and the Admin Automation Wave

The largest cluster in this healthcare batch is companies attacking the administrative and operational layer of care delivery. This shouldn't surprise anyone who's been watching health tech over the last few years. The administrative burden on health organizations is staggering, and it's getting worse. Scheduling Wizard is building what it describes as logistics infrastructure to modernize healthcare operations, which is a category that sounds boring until you realize that poor scheduling coordination is one of the single largest drivers of wasted clinical capacity in health systems. Getting scheduling right in a complex care environment means navigating provider availability, patient acuity, equipment, room availability, and payer requirements.

simultaneously. The companies that have solved this for smaller verticals, like scheduling, have built very sticky businesses.

MochaCare is positioning as an agentic management service for care organizations targeting the broader category of care coordination infrastructure. Care organizations, particularly in the managed care and value-based care space, are drowning in the coordination work required to manage patient panels at scale. The traditional model is human-heavy and doesn't scale. Agentic systems that can handle outreach, follow-up, gap closure, and documentation represent a real unlock here assuming they can get EHR integration right.

Eos AI is calling itself an autonomous operating system for healthcare, which is the most ambitious framing in this cluster. The OS metaphor suggests it's not just automating individual workflows but trying to become the orchestration layer across workflows. That's a much harder product to build and sell, but if it works, it's also substantially more defensible. The challenge with this positioning is that health systems are notoriously difficult to sell horizontal platforms to. Most successful health IT companies have started vertical and expanded, not the other way around. Eos AI is either a few years early or perfectly timed, and that's probably the most important diligence question for anyone looking at it.

Opalite Health is doing something that sounds narrow but has a real structural tailwind behind it: helping healthcare providers communicate in any language. It's partly a compliance play given where CMS and OCR are heading on language access requirements, and partly a quality-of-care play given the documented outcomes for patients with limited English proficiency. Multilingual care coordination has historically been solved with human interpreters at enormous cost and with significant scheduling friction. AI-native language support changes the economics substantially.

Primary Care as Platform: The Most Crowded and Most Important Bet

Primary care is the most contested category in this batch, which makes sense because it's also the most broken. Over 200 million Americans nominally rely on primary care as their entry point to the healthcare system, and that system is visibly collapsing. Primary care physicians are burning out at record rates, patient panels are growing while visit times shrink, and preventive care is falling through the cracks at scale because no one has the capacity to close care gaps manually.

Beacon Health is the name that keeps coming up as the standout in this space. It's building AI employees specifically for primary care, automating the workflows that eat physician time without adding clinical value: prior authorizations, preventive screening follow-ups, referral coordination, and risk adjustment documentation. What makes Beacon notable relative to other primary care AI plays is the combination of the founding team and early traction signals. The co-founders include a Stanford and Harvard-trained physician alongside an ex-Amazon Alexa engineer. That's a pairing of clinical credibility and technical depth. Before Demo Day, Beacon had already secured backing from Accel and a Sequoia scout, making it reportedly the largest healthcare raise in the batch. The EHR integration angle is critical here - Beacon says it handles workflows directly in the EHR, which is the right distribution strategy. Anything that requires providers to leave their EHR to interact with a tool will have serious adoption friction.

Prana is going at this from a different angle entirely. Instead of augmenting existing primary care capacity, it's positioning as an AI primary care doctor in the consumer pocket. That's a fundamentally different business model: consumer-direct rather than provider-sold. The tricky thing about consumer-direct primary care AI is that it's simultaneously huge as an opportunity and genuinely hard to execute compliantly. Scope of practice, liability, licensing, and reimbursement are all live minefields. Companies that have succeeded in direct-to-consumer clinical AI have generally done so through very careful regulatory positioning or by operating in a narrow enough clinical lane that the liability surface is manageable.

The tension between Beacon's provider-facing model and Prana's consumer model is actually one of the more interesting strategic questions in health tech right now. Provider-facing distribution is slower and more expensive, but it comes with cli-

trust, EHR integration, and institutional relationships. Consumer-direct is fast scale but runs directly into the credentialing and reimbursement wall. Where primary care AI ultimately wins is probably in some hybrid – using consumer engagement to power the clinical intelligence, but monetizing through the provider or payer.

Drug Discovery Goes Computational: The Science-Heavy End of the Batch

The most technically dense corner of this healthcare batch is the drug discovery computational biology cluster. These are companies doing things that require serious scientific depth to evaluate, and the one-line YC descriptions don't come close to capturing what's actually being built.

CellType is calling itself the agentic drug company, with the thesis that it can simulate human biology at enough fidelity to meaningfully accelerate drug development. The “we simulate human biology” framing is bold, but it points at something real. In vitro and animal models fail to predict human outcomes at a rate that is embarrassing and expensive. The ability to run virtual experiments against better human biology simulations doesn't eliminate the need for wet lab validation but it should dramatically compress the hypothesis testing cycle. CellType is one of the harder companies in this batch to evaluate quickly because the science is genuinely complex, but it's also one of the higher-potential outcomes if the simulation approach holds up.

Ditto Biosciences is doing something clever and genuinely novel. The thesis is that parasites, viruses, ticks, and worms have spent millions of years evolving proteins to suppress and manipulate the human immune system, and those proteins are themselves a largely untapped library of drug candidates for autoimmune disease. Using AI for protein structure prediction and target identification, Ditto is essentially mining evolutionary biology for immune modulation molecules. The founding team is three PhD scientists from UCSF, UCSD, and UC Berkeley with backgrounds in parasitology, computational biology, and protein structure prediction. These are people who worked together for three years before founding the company. That's a team

serious scientific credibility and real domain depth, which matters a lot in a category where most of the risk is scientific rather than market.

Origin is doing AI and data for cancer therapeutics, which covers a lot of ground depending on what the actual product is. The cancer therapeutics space is one where AI has been promised to deliver breakthroughs for years, and progress has been slow but slower than early hype suggested. The companies making genuine progress are generally the ones with proprietary data assets that give their models an advantage over what you can build with public datasets alone.

Strand AI is building multimodal foundation models to predict uncollected patient biology. This one is worth unpacking. The core idea appears to be using multimodal data, presumably some combination of clinical records, imaging, genomics, and behavioral signals, to predict biological information that hasn't been directly measured. This is the kind of capability that could be enormously valuable for risk stratification, early disease detection, or treatment selection, depending on what predictions it's actually making and how well it validates. Strand is probably the highest-uncertainty, highest-potential company in the bio cluster.

Synthetic Sciences is taking the tooling angle rather than the direct discovery angle. It's building what it calls Claude Code for scientific research – a platform where researchers delegate complex research tasks to AI co-scientists. The founders see the biggest unlock in ML research, computational biology, and proteomics, where the tooling infrastructure hasn't kept pace with the models. Having raised \$1.4M pre-seed with another \$500K from the standard deal, Synthetic Sciences had more capitalization coming into Demo Day than most of its cohort.

Surgical AI and the Procedural Frontier

Mango Medical is building foundation models specifically for planning orthopedic surgery. Surgical planning is a genuinely interesting wedge. It's a high-stakes, high-value decision point where errors are expensive in both human and financial terms. It's also a workflow that currently relies heavily on manual review of imaging and implant specifications, which is exactly the kind of task where AI has shown

consistent performance improvements. The orthopedic market specifically is attractive because implant selection and surgical planning are tightly linked to an expensive supply chain. A company that can demonstrate it reduces revision rates improves implant fit precision is going to get attention from both payers and the implant manufacturers who would love to take share from each other. The SaaS here also has a natural integration point with surgical scheduling systems and the EHR, giving Mango Health several potential distribution paths.

The surgical AI category more broadly has been heating up since the FDA started getting more comfortable with AI-assisted imaging analysis and decision support. Companies like Mango Medical are entering a market where the regulatory path is getting incrementally clearer, even if it's still not simple. That's a better situation than it was even two or three years ago.

Practice Verticals: Dental, Medspa, and Infusion

Three companies in this batch are going after specific specialty practice verticals; the logic is sound even if the individual markets are smaller than primary care or pharma.

Patientdesk.ai is building an AI front and back office agent for dental practices. Dental is a great vertical for this approach for several reasons. Dental practices are predominantly small and independent, their administrative workflows are standardized enough to automate at scale, and they're historically underserved by software vendors relative to their medical counterparts. DSOs, the dental service organizations consolidating the industry, are also a natural enterprise distribution channel if Patientdesk can show unit economics that hold up across a managed portfolio.

Tepali is doing an AI-native operating system for medspas, and the timing here is interesting. The medspa market has grown fast and fragmented fast. There are now somewhere around 8,000 to 10,000 medspas operating in the U.S., most of them owner-operated businesses with revenue in the \$500K to \$3M range. They need scheduling, inventory management, consent management, treatment documentation,

billing, and increasingly sophisticated CRM. The fact that Tepali also tags Finte its categories suggests it's probably looking at payment and financing as part of stack, which makes sense given how much medspa revenue flows through consumer financing.

Ruma Care is building the operations stack for biologic infusion clinics. This is maybe the most interesting vertical choice of the three because biologic infusion is a rapidly growing category driven by the GLP-1 and autoimmune drug markets, it's clinically complex enough that generic practice management software doesn't handle it well, and the revenue per patient is high enough that operators care deeply about operational efficiency. A clinic that infuses biologics at \$10K to \$50K per patient per year has a very different operational profile than a primary care practice, and Ruma appears to be building to that specific complexity.

Revenue Cycle and Billing AI: Boring Name, Massive Market

Overdrive Health is building AI-native medical billing services. This is a category where the opportunity is massive, the pain is real and well-documented, and the execution path is very hard. Medical billing is not a new problem. It's been the graveyard of many well-funded health IT companies. What's different now is the combination of large language models for claims interpretation and autonomous agents for follow-up and correction workflows gets the unit economics to a place that wasn't achievable before. The companies that fail in this space usually fail because they underestimate the complexity of payer-specific claim rules, the pace of payer policy change, and the cost of errors. The ones that succeed build a very strong proprietary intelligence layer that gets better with volume.

The medico-legal angle is covered by two companies that sit at the edge of health and legal. Docura Health is calling itself an AI-native med-legal firm. Wayco is building an AI operator for medico-legal cases. These are different angles on the underlying workflow: the process of using medical records to support or defend claims is extremely document-heavy, time-consuming, and prone to errors from

manual review. Both companies are betting that AI can accelerate the record review summarization, and documentation chain substantially. Given that medical-legal is typically billed at several hundred dollars per hour for highly trained professionals, the unit economics of automation here are compelling. The market is smaller than primary care but the margin structure is attractive.

Life Sciences Infrastructure and Research Tooling

Rhizome AI is building an agent platform for life sciences, which puts it in the increasingly crowded category of agentic infrastructure specifically for biopharmaceutical research workflows. Life sciences companies spend enormous amounts on knowledge management, regulatory documentation, clinical trial coordination, and R&D project management. These workflows have historically been managed with a combination of expensive specialized software and an enormous amount of human labor. Agentic systems that can handle the coordination, documentation, and search tasks within these workflows have a real enterprise sales opportunity, though the sales cycle and implementation complexity are both significant.

10x Science is building what it calls the AI-native platform for next-generation protein characterization. Protein characterization is a bottleneck in both drug discovery and industrial biotech. The ability to accelerate the throughput and quality of protein characterization workflows directly translates into faster development cycles. This one sits at the infrastructure layer of life sciences research, which means it has a long sales cycle but potentially very high lifetime value per customer.

The Sleepers and the Long Shots

Fort is building a strength tracking wearable that tags both Consumer and Healthcare in its categories. The consumer health wearable space is notoriously difficult to build a durable business in. The hardware economics are brutal, the competition from Apple and Garmin is real, and clinical reimbursement for wearable data is still very limited. Fort's only clear path to being a healthcare business rather than a consumer

gadget is if the strength tracking data can be credentialed enough to matter in clinical or insurance contexts, which is a long road.

OctaPulse is using computer vision and robotics to automate quality inspection of aquaculture farms, which is tagged Healthcare / Biotech but is more accurately an aquaculture food safety play. It's an interesting use case for computer vision applied to biological systems, and there's a real food supply chain argument for why fish health monitoring matters from a public health perspective, but it's an unusual fit with the healthcare bucket.

Mantis is calling itself digital twins of humans, which is the most ambitious franchise in the entire batch. Building credible physiological digital twins is one of the genuinely hard unsolved problems at the intersection of AI and biology. The category has attracted serious academic and industrial investment. Whether a YC-stage company is actually building digital twins in any clinically meaningful sense, or whether it's using that language to describe something narrower, is the key diligence question.

How to Think About This as an Angel

For the angel and early-stage investor trying to figure out where to put attention in this batch, a few frameworks are worth applying.

First, distribution clarity matters as much as technology. The best technology play in this batch is probably CellType or Ditto Biosciences, but the companies with the most legible near-term distribution are Beacon Health, Overdrive Health, and Patientdesk.ai (<http://Patientdesk.ai>). That's not a knock on the science plays – it's a recognition that for a pre-seed or seed check, the question isn't just “can this work” but “can this get to a Series A on the capital they're raising.” Companies with clear EHR integration strategies and specific provider customer segments are going to have an easier time showing the traction metrics that Series A investors want to see.

Second, the admin automation cluster is probably more crowded than it looks. Beacon Health, MochaCare, Eos AI, and Scheduling Wizard are all, in different ways,

attacking the operational layer of care delivery. These are not identical businesses; they're competing for the same IT budget and the same limited pool of health system champions who are willing to sponsor new vendor relationships. Differentiation through specific workflow ownership, rather than general intelligence claims, is what will separate the winners from the also-rans.

Third, the science-heavy companies (Ditto Biosciences, CellType, Strand AI, 10x Genomics, Science) are playing a very different risk/return game. They have longer timelines, higher capital intensity, and more binary outcomes. For angel syndicates, the question is whether you have the portfolio construction to hold a position through multiple rounds over a seven-to-ten-year horizon, because that's realistically what the timeline looks like for a drug discovery company that is pre-clinical at YC stage.

Fourth and finally, the practice vertical companies are probably the most underappreciated segment of this batch from an angel return perspective. Ruma Health, Tepali, and Patientdesk.ai are all going after markets that are large enough to build real businesses in but small enough that the Epics and Cerner of the world aren't fighting hard for them. Vertical SaaS with high switching costs in a growing specialty market is one of the more reliable archetypes in health tech investing. The exit multiples aren't always unicorn-scale, but the path to profitable and M&A exits from strategic buyers is genuinely clear. Health systems, DSOs, and private equity-backed specialty groups are all active acquirers of well-built practice management software.

The W26 healthcare batch is a microcosm of where the entire sector is heading: from "AI as a feature" and toward AI as the core operational architecture of specific high-value workflows. The companies that win from this batch will be the ones that have picked a narrow enough beachhead to own completely, integrated deep enough into existing clinical systems to be hard to rip out, and built a data asset along the way that makes their models meaningfully better than anything a new entrant could build from scratch. That's the playbook. Watch who's executing it.



1 Like

[← Previous](#)

Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...



