

Home health, hidden basis, points, pricing of hospital level care

DEC 05, 2025 • PAID



Share

Disclaimer: The views and opinions in this piece are entirely my own and do not reflect those of my employer in any way.

If you are interested in joining my generalist healthcare angel syndicate, reach out to trey@onhealthcare.tech or send me a DM. Accredited investors only.

Abstract

Short version of what follows:

- CMS finalized the 2026 home health rule with a headline 2.4 percent payment update, a permanent behavior adjustment of a bit over negative 1 percent, and a year temporary reduction of about 3 percent, which nets out to roughly a 1.3 percent aggregate cut in Medicare home health spend compared to 2025.
- Under the hood, CMS rebuilt the PDGM case mix weights using very fresh claim data linked to OASIS, refreshed functional impairment levels, expanded comorbidity subgroups well into the triple digits, and reset LUPA thresholds across the group.
- At the same time, the 2026 outpatient and ASC final rule hikes OPPS and ASC payment rates by 2.6 percent, sets a new conversion factor around the low ninety dollar range, and expands the ASC covered procedures list by hundreds of codes.

including cardiac ablations, advanced endoscopy, and other historically inpatient HOPD dominated work.

- Put together, you have hospitals with capital constraints, home health agencies facing mild pressure, and a policy environment actively steering more care out of hospital footprint.
- For anyone investing in RPM, tele rehab, home infusion, DME logistics, or ASC infrastructure and tooling, these rules are basically a fresh term sheet for where hospital-level revenue is going to land by 2026.

Table of contents

1. Why home health suddenly matters again
2. What CMS just did to the home health math
3. Modeling high acuity episodes at home
4. Value based purchasing and the tech ROI problem
5. DMEPOS, logistics, and the messy home based stack
6. OPPI, ASC, and the site of care migration lever
7. How this all looks from an angel investor seat
8. A few concrete theses and things I would actually fund

Why home health suddenly matters again

Hospitals are not abandoning inpatient towers, but financially many of them prefer wish they could. They are getting a 2.6 percent bump on outpatient and ASC payments in 2026, which looks fine on paper until you place it next to wage inflation, consumable inflation, and the pleasure of financing capital projects in a rate

environment where money still costs real money. At the same time, a good chunk of procedures that used to be glued to inpatient settings can now be done in ASCs or hospital outpatient departments under the refreshed criteria. When CMS adds hundreds of codes to the ASC list, including serious work like certain cardiac ablations or advanced GI procedures, the message is basically that inpatient is no longer the default.

Demand is not politely waiting, either. Aging demographics, Medicare Advantage penetration, and risk based payment models keep pushing more responsibility onto post acute settings. Home health agencies end up in the middle of that shift. They can either be low margin vendors of short visits or the chassis that hospital level acute care rides on when beds are scarce or economics tilt in favor of sending patients home sooner. In a roundabout way, CMS is saying it is open to the latter path if the math holds.

For investors, the 2026 rules shift the implied value of the care continuum. OPPs and ASCs get a straightforward rate bump plus more procedures. Home health takes a modest net negative adjustment but avoids a much steeper cut that was on the table. From a direction-of-travel standpoint, CMS is effectively saying home health is central, not peripheral, to the next iteration of federal care delivery incentives. For anyone underwriting virtual care, hybrid home models, care logistics, or non-physician home services, this is not noise. It is where the revenue ceiling is being redrawn.

What CMS just did to the home health math

The headlines do not explain why operators were sweating the proposed rule earlier. The granularity changes inside the new rule are the real story.

Start with the basic rate mechanics. CMS sets a national standardized 30 day base rate, then applies case mix weights, wage index, outlier logic, and LUPA rules. For 2026, the base rate receives a 2.4 percent update, then gets hit with a permanent prospective behavior adjustment just above negative 1 percent plus a temporary

year 3 percent adjustment tied to PDGM behavior corrections. Net that all out a home health agencies land around a 1.3 percent aggregate cut relative to 2025. It not ideal, but it is far better than what was proposed earlier.

The PDGM internals are where things really move. CMS rebuilt case mix weight using recent claims and OASIS data, capturing true agency behavior rather than PDGM era patterns. That means coding interactions, functional levels, and visit distributions all get refreshed. The functional impairment levels are tightened, and the comorbidity grid expands meaningfully, with roughly twenty low comorbidity groups and close to a hundred high groups. LUPA thresholds are reset across all clinical groupings.

There are also adjustments to face to face encounter requirements and home health quality reporting, which matter because they change the overhead and documentation burden for agencies partnering with tech vendors.

If you zoom out, the environment is a mild rate headwind combined with a heavy classification overhaul. That means the winner's circle is defined by documentation accuracy, OASIS execution, and visit planning. PDGM is becoming more of a data workflow puzzle and less of a rote billing exercise. Agencies that treat PDGM more like revenue science will outperform those running on gut instinct. This is exactly the kind of regulatory complexity that startups can arbitrage if they know what they are doing.

Modeling high acuity episodes at home

Investors love to hear about the future of high acuity care at home. Analysts love to talk about bending inpatient curves. Patients mostly want to stay out of hospital. The question is always whether the payment rules will support it.

Under PDGM, every 30 day period sits in a clinical group, functional impairment level, and comorbidity tier. Each combination gives you a case mix weight. LUPA thresholds then determine how many visits you need to escape per visit payment to hit the full 30 day rate. For high acuity work like advanced heart failure, oxygen

dependent COPD, or post joint replacement, you tend to surpass LUPA threshold but the mix of disciplines you use changes the economics.

If you are backing companies that insert RPM, virtual cardiology, virtual pulmonary rehab, or intensive tele rehab, the trick is knowing whether your product shifts an episode into a different and better reimbursed PDGM cell or prevents it from falling into a low utilization pattern that nukes revenue. The 2026 recalibration is based on real data, so gaming the grid is harder, but optimizing within it is easier if your product actually influences visit timing and documentation.

For example, a heart failure RPM program might catch early decompensation and prompt skilled nursing visits earlier in the period. That not only helps keep patients out of the hospital; it also increases the likelihood the episode stays above LUPA and it may justify a more complex comorbidity tier if the documentation lines up. But if the product adds work for agencies without reliably moving the episode classification, it is just margin erosion dressed up as innovation.

Joint replacement is another case where 2026 rules matter. More joints are moving to outpatient or into ASCs. That means home health or hybrid virtual rehab is the primary post acute care environment. If you are modeling a business built on outpatient joints, you must know the 2026 case mix weights and thresholds and the combination of outpatient or ASC index stays plus PDGM governed home recovery will set the new baseline. It has nothing to do with historical DRG assumptions and everything to do with how agencies get paid for therapy heavy episodes.

In short, if a startup cannot walk you through PDGM math with actual numbers for 2026, not theoretical grids from 2020, they probably are not ready for prime time.

Value based purchasing and the tech ROI problem

Home health value based purchasing is now nationwide and sticks around in the rule without major structural changes. The performance periods, scoring, and payment adjustments create a long tail on ROI for tech that improves outcomes.

value based purchasing is noisy. It relies on measures that only partially reflect what agencies can control, and it pays out with delay.

So tech vendors pitching VBP upside must show a revenue bridge that includes PDGM improvements and VBP improvements, not just vague promises about quality. Agencies will be extremely sensitive in 2026 because they are absorbing a net payment cut. If something does not produce a sharp and obvious PDGM gain or a clear reduction in avoidable acute events, it is a tough sell.

The added twist is that CMS tweaked some of the quality reporting elements. Anything that adds documentation friction becomes more expensive for agencies. If a startup says it will improve VBP, it also has to prove it will not create new workflow headaches that reduce PDGM efficiency.

In reality, the best positioned startups are those that solve for both revenue integrity and clinical performance. They must know the specific measures that matter in 2026 and show how their intervention moves those measures, not just claim “better outcomes.”

DMEPOS, logistics, and the messy home based stack

Nobody gets excited about DMEPOS policy unless they have lived through it. It is the deeply unglamorous part of home based care, but it determines whether high acuity home actually works. The 2026 home health rule touches DMEPOS accreditation, enrollment, and documentation rules, and these changes land on top of years of fraud policy tightening.

This creates real friction. High acuity home care needs equipment like oxygen concentrators, non invasive ventilation, wound vacs, infusion pumps, and a dozen other devices. Getting them to the home, getting them set up correctly, and keeping them running is not easy.

From an investor perspective, the opportunity sits between documentation, logistics and compliance. Smaller DME suppliers struggle with accreditation and audit risk. Large suppliers struggle with responsiveness and workflow integration. Home health agencies often have zero visibility into equipment timing and failures, which means they should shoulder the clinical risk.

Startups that can bridge that gap with real operational capabilities, not just a nice app, have a shot. You want companies that can get equipment delivered in hours or days, integrate with agency documentation, and make the whole episode compliant. The 2026 rule essentially raises the bar for DME documentation alignment with home health visits. That friction is an opportunity for companies that can take complete control off operators' plates.

OPPS, ASC, and the site of care migration lever

The 2026 OPPS and ASC rule is essentially a migration policy hiding in plain sight. A 2.6 percent payment rate increase is not trivial, but the real story is the scope of procedures that can now be performed in ASCs. The ASC list grows by hundreds of codes, including cardiac ablations, advanced GI procedures, and surgeries previously scoped as inpatient only. The inpatient only list continues its slow phase out.

This is reshaping where procedures start and therefore where the downstream care pathways go. If an ablation moves into an ASC, a lot of follow up shifts into home or virtual environments. If joints move outpatient, the entire post op rehab pathway becomes a hybrid of home health, tele PT, and occasional in person visits.

Private equity backed ASC platforms will absolutely capitalize on the expanded procedure list. Hospitals will push cases into ASCs and outpatient suites to relieve inpatient capacity and improve financials. Payers will expect downstream savings so they will scrutinize post acute spend more tightly when the index stay is no longer inpatient DRG.

Investors need to track which procedure families are migrating and what their downstream clinical profiles look like. Cardiology procedures require rhythm monitoring and med management. GI procedures need follow up for bleeding risk and motility. Ortho needs rehab and fall risk monitoring. Each of those creates openings for specialized hybrid care companies.

If a founder cannot explain the economic difference between an inpatient ablation episode and an ASC based ablation episode under 2026 rates, they probably have not done enough homework.

How this all looks from an angel investor's seat

Looking across inpatient, outpatient, ASC, and home health, the 2026 rules re-align margin and risk across the clinical continuum. Inpatient stays remain lucrative in absolute dollars but face steady encroachment. OPs and ASC continue to rise as default settings for a growing slate of procedures. Home health becomes more data and operations heavy and slightly less well funded, but still central to the government's strategy for managing chronic and postoperative care.

For early stage investors, the opportunity lies in anticipating how operators will adapt. Hospitals will seek to push more cases outpatient. ASCs will expand scope. Home health agencies will tighten operations and chase revenue integrity. Risk-bearing entities will push more responsibility down to whoever touches the patient most frequently, which is often home health or hybrid home virtual care models.

The worst investment you can make in this environment is a general purpose tool that is not grounded in the actual payment mechanics. The best investments tend to be very specific wedges built around regulatory complexity. Examples include tools that make PDGM classification more accurate at scale, post procedure follow up programs tied to newly migrated ASC procedures, or DME logistics platforms that guarantee setup windows tight enough to actually avoid hospitalizations.

The main risk is founders who treat policy as background noise. If a team cannot explain the sign of the 2026 home health adjustment or cannot name a single category of procedure that just gained ASC eligibility, you are underwriting a long and expensive education.

A few concrete theses and things I would actually fund

Here are some directions that seem investable in the world shaped by the 2026 rules.

First, high acuity home health enablement built on PDGM arithmetic. Not vague coordination products. Tools that change PDGM classification and LUPA avoidance rates in high value clinical groups. The 2026 environment rewards operators who understand the grid. Products that make the grid work for them, not against them, will be sticky and defensible.

Second, DME logistics plus compliance with real field ops. The gap between policy demands and actual equipment performance at home is wide. Companies that can deliver, service, and document equipment setups in hours, not days, and can integrate that data into home health workflows will win.

Third, ASC centric episode platforms focused on specific procedures. Do not try to build the everything platform for ASCs. Pick one or two types of procedures that are newly viable in ASCs, build the end to end follow up and monitoring pathways, and tie your unit economics to the actual differential between inpatient and ASC payments.

Fourth, analytics tools that treat inpatient, OPIS, ASC, and home health as a portfolio. Hospitals need to plan capacity, capital spending, and service mix across four settings. Tools that forecast margin and volume under the 2026 rules can guide real investment decisions.

The thread across all these ideas is that CMS effectively rewrote a large chunk of the revenue architecture for post acute care and outpatient migration. If you back

founders who treat these rules as constraints to design within, you get companies align naturally with policy and financial tailwinds. If you ignore these rules and with generic healthcare pitches, you are basically underwriting hope.

The broader point is that home health is no longer the supporting act. It is part new chassis for hospital level care in a world where inpatient capacity is constrained and outpatient migration is accelerating. The 2026 rules do not blow up the system but they quietly change the margin structure just enough that a lot of care will reorganize around them. Investors who know how to read that shift will have an advantage.

[← Previous](#)

[Next →](#)

Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...