

Mining Gold from Public Health Data: The Unrealized Opportunity in Healthcare's Open Datasets

NOV 10, 2025 • PAID



Share

Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed in this essay are my own and do not reflect views of my employer, Datavant, or any other organization with which I am affiliated.

Table of Contents

- The Economics of Healthcare Data and Why Most Companies Get It Wrong
- Public Imaging Datasets and the Community Hospital Opportunity
- Public Health Surveillance Data and the Government Sales Opportunity
- Provider Network Analytics and Insurance Marketplace Data
- Environmental Health Data and the Emerging Multimodal Opportunity
- Clinical Notes and Social Determinants Extraction
- Critical Care Research Databases and Academic Validation Opportunities
- Commercial EHR Data Platforms and the Real-World Evidence Gold Rush
- The Incumbent Commercial Data Vendors and Their Stranglehold on Pharma
- Synthesis: Building Defensible Businesses on Healthcare Data

Abstract

This essay examines the emerging landscape of public and private healthcare data, exploring viable business models for health tech entrepreneurs and angel investors. We analyze ten major data resources spanning both freely accessible and commercial datasets: Google Cloud Healthcare API, CDC Open Data, HHS/[HealthData.gov] (<http://HealthData.gov>) portals, SatHealth multimodal environmental health data, SDOH-NLI clinical notes corpus, MIMIC-IV and eICU critical care databases, and commercial offerings from Truveta, IQVIA, Komodo Health, and Symphony Health. For each dataset, we detail technical implementation approaches, go-to-market strategies, and specific business opportunities ranging from clinical decision support to real-world evidence generation. Key themes include the convergence of multiple data sources, the critical importance of linking public datasets with proprietary clinical data, and the strategic advantages of vertical-specific solutions over horizontal platforms. We argue that sustainable businesses require not just data access but differentiated domain expertise, proprietary data layering, and strategic go-to-market execution.

The Economics of Healthcare Data and Why Most Companies Get It Wrong

There is something deeply ironic about the state of public health data in America: we have more of it than ever before, much of it genuinely useful and machine-readable, sitting in cloud buckets and behind REST APIs waiting to be queried. And yet no health tech companies still treat public datasets like the free breadsticks at Olive Garden: nice to have around but not something you build a meal around. This is a mistake, and potentially a massive one, because there are real businesses to be built on the foundation of public health data if you understand what problems these datasets actually solve and for whom.

Let me start with the basic economics here because they matter more than most people think. Public datasets have zero marginal cost to access, which sounds great until you realize that zero marginal cost also means zero barriers to entry for your competitors. Every other smart person in health tech can pull the same data you have and run the same models, and build similar products. So the question is not whether

can access the data but whether you can do something with it that creates defens value. And that usually means combining public data with something proprietary whether that is unique clinical data from partners, specialized domain expertise lets you interpret the data better than others, or go-to-market relationships that you distribution advantages.

The private data market adds another layer of complexity. Companies like IQVIA, Symphony Health, Komodo, and the newly ascendant Truveta sell access to clean, normalized, and linked patient-level data that can cost anywhere from tens of thousands to millions of dollars annually depending on scale and use case. These datasets offer significant advantages over public data in terms of coverage, granularity, and timeliness, but they also create a different type of barrier: capital requirement. You need to spend six figures just to access the data before you can build anything better. You either have venture funding or a clear path to monetization that justifies the upfront investment.

Public Imaging Datasets and the Community Hospital Opportunity

The Google Cloud Healthcare API platform has become something of a developer favorite for imaging-heavy use cases, particularly because it includes datasets like the NIH Chest X-ray collection and the broader Imaging Data Commons repository. These are not small datasets either, we are talking about hundreds of thousands of deidentified medical images with varying levels of metadata attached. The technical implementation here is relatively straightforward if you are already in the Google Cloud ecosystem: you can spin up compute instances, run your ML pipelines directly against the data, and avoid the headache of managing petabytes of imaging data on your own infrastructure. The data is generally licensed under Creative Commons Attribution, which means you can use it for commercial purposes with attribution, though you should always read the specific terms because some subsets have additional restrictions.

The obvious business model here is building diagnostic AI tools that can be validated on public datasets before being deployed in clinical settings. But here is where many companies make their first mistake: they build a general-purpose imaging AI platform that can theoretically work on any radiology image, and then they discover that hospitals do not buy general-purpose tools. Hospitals buy solutions to specific problems. So instead of building yet another “AI-powered radiology platform,” you should identify a specific clinical use case where imaging AI can reduce costs or improve outcomes in a measurable way, validate your model on the public dataset and then partner with a radiology group or hospital system to get access to their production data for fine-tuning and deployment.

One angle that I think is genuinely underexplored is using these public imaging datasets as a foundation for building clinical decision support tools specifically for community hospitals and rural health systems. These organizations typically do not have access to specialist radiologists for every case and often rely on teleradiology services that are expensive and have variable quality. If you could build a validated model that flags high-priority findings or triages cases for specialist review, you could sell that as a service to these facilities at a price point that undercuts traditional teleradiology while providing faster turnaround times. The go-to-market here will likely involve partnerships with hospital purchasing groups or rural health networks rather than trying to sell directly to individual facilities, because the decision-making process at small community hospitals is notoriously slow and relationship-driven.

The integration challenge with imaging data is not trivial though. Most hospital systems were built in an era when interoperability meant being able to export a DICOM file, and while things have improved with DICOM standards and newer APIs, they still end up doing a lot of custom integration work to get your AI model to actually run in the clinical workflow. This is where I think there is an opportunity for infrastructure companies that sit between the AI model developers and the hospital systems, essentially providing a normalized API layer that handles the PACS integration, DICOM parsing, HL7 messaging, and all the other plumbing that nobody wants to build themselves. You could charge both sides for this: model developers

for access to the integration infrastructure, and health systems pay for the orchestration and management layer.

Public Health Surveillance Data and the Government Sales Opportunity

Moving to the CDC Open Data initiative, this is a completely different type of data with different opportunities. The CDC publishes everything from vaccination rates to chronic disease prevalence to injury statistics, usually aggregated at the county or state level. This data is incredibly valuable for population health applications, public health surveillance tools, and for layering additional context onto patient-level claims data. The technical access is generally through the Socrata Open Data API, which is pretty developer-friendly if you have worked with REST APIs before. The main challenge is understanding the aggregation units and how the data has been sampled or adjusted, because the CDC does a lot of statistical modeling and imputation behind the scenes to fill gaps in their surveillance data.

The business opportunity with CDC data is less about building standalone products and more about using it to enhance other health tech applications. For example, if you are building a care management platform for chronic disease patients, you could layer county-level CDC data on disease prevalence and social determinants to help care managers identify which patients are at highest risk based on where they live. Or if you are building a population health analytics tool for payers or ACOs, you could layer CDC data onto claims data to show how local health trends correlate with healthcare utilization and costs. The value proposition here is giving your customers additional context that they cannot easily get from their own data alone.

One specific business model that I think could work is building vertical-specific public health dashboards for state and local health departments. Most public health departments are using tools that are a decade or more out of date, and they have limited technical resources to build modern analytics infrastructure themselves. If you could create a configurable dashboard platform that ingests CDC data along with state-specific data sources and presents it in a way that is actually useful for public

health decision-making, you could sell that as a SaaS product to state and local health departments. The challenge is that government sales cycles are long and budgets are constrained, so you would need to be patient and probably get some initial customers through grants or pilot programs before you could scale it commercially.

The integration challenge with CDC data is mostly about data quality and schema harmonization. Different CDC programs publish data in different formats with different update frequencies and different definitions of what constitutes a reportable case or outcome. If you are trying to build a product that combines multiple CDC datasets or that combines CDC data with other sources, you end up spending a lot of time on ETL and data cleaning. This is honestly where most startups building on public data die: they underestimate how much engineering effort goes into making data usable and they run out of runway before they get to the actual product development. My advice would be to start with a narrow use case that only requires one or two data sources, prove that you can create value with that, and then expand to more complex data integration as you grow.

Provider Network Analytics and Insurance Marketplace Data

The HHS [HealthData.gov](<http://HealthData.gov>) portal is a bit of a mixed bag because it aggregates datasets from dozens of different federal agencies, and the quality and usefulness varies wildly. But buried in there are some genuinely valuable datasets, particularly around provider credentials, insurance marketplace data, and healthcare facility characteristics. The [Data.Healthcare.gov](<http://Data.Healthcare.gov>) portal specifically has API access for plan and provider information, which is useful if you are building tools for health insurance shopping, provider network optimization, or healthcare market analysis. The data tends to be more operational and structural rather than clinical, which means the use cases are different from what you would do with EHR or claims data.

One business opportunity here that I have seen a few companies pursue with varying success is building provider network analytics tools for payers and self-insured

employers. The basic idea is that you combine public data on provider credentials, quality metrics, and costs with claims data from the customer to help them optimize their provider networks. You might identify high-cost low-quality providers that should be excluded from narrow networks, or you might find gaps in network coverage where patients are traveling long distances for care. The challenge is that payers and large employers already have analytics teams working on this stuff, so you need to either provide significantly better insights than they can generate internally or you need to make the analysis much faster and easier than their current tools allow.

Another angle is using the marketplace data to build consumer-facing tools for health insurance shopping. The problem with the current state of health insurance shopping is that most consumers have no idea how to evaluate plans beyond looking at premiums and deductibles, and they end up making suboptimal choices that cost them money. If you could build a tool that takes into account a consumer's expected healthcare utilization, their preferred providers, and their risk tolerance, you could recommend plans that are genuinely better fits for their needs. The go-to-market would likely be through partnerships with employers who want to help their employees make better benefits decisions, or through insurance brokers who are looking for tools to differentiate their services. The monetization could be referral fees from plans, subscription fees from employers, or transaction fees from brokers.

The integration challenge with HHS data is that it sits outside of the clinical workflow entirely, so you are not dealing with EHR integration or claims feeds. Instead the challenge is building user interfaces and workflows that make the data accessible to non-technical users. Most of the potential customers for products built on HHS data are not going to be data scientists; they are going to be HR managers, benefits consultants, or individual consumers. So you need to invest heavily in product design and user experience, which is a different skill set than most health tech companies have in-house.

Environmental Health Data and the Emerging Multimodal Opportunity

Now we get to SatHealth, which is one of the more interesting new datasets to come out recently because it combines satellite-derived environmental data with social determinants indicators and claims-based disease prevalence. This is the kind of multimodal dataset that people have been talking about for years but that we haven't really seen much of in practice. The initial release covers Ohio and includes things like air quality measurements, green space availability, food desert indicators, and how those correlate with chronic disease rates. The technical challenge with this is that you need to be comfortable working with geospatial data formats and you need to understand how to properly merge environmental exposures with health outcomes while accounting for confounding variables and temporal lags.

The business opportunity with environmental health data is still emerging, but I think there are a few promising directions. One is building tools for public health departments and environmental agencies to identify and address environmental hazards. For example, you could create a system that automatically flags areas where air quality measurements correlate with elevated asthma hospitalization rates, triggering investigations and interventions. Another direction is clinical risk prediction models that incorporate environmental exposures as features. There is pretty good evidence that environmental factors influence health outcomes independent of traditional clinical risk factors, so adding this data to predictive models could improve accuracy. The challenge is that most healthcare organizations do not currently think about environmental determinants of health in their operational workflows, so you would need to do significant education and change management to get them to actually use these tools.

The go-to-market strategy for environmental health products is tricky because you're selling to organizations that may not have clear budget lines for this type of capital. Public health departments are an obvious customer but they tend to have limited funding and slow procurement processes. Health systems are another potential customer, particularly those in communities with significant environmental health challenges, but you would need to demonstrate clear ROI in terms of reduced hospitalizations or improved population health metrics. A potentially faster path to market might be through partnerships with community health organizations or

health nonprofits that are already working on environmental health issues and they could use your tools to amplify their impact.

The integration challenge with environmental health data is mostly about geocoding and spatial joins. You need to be able to take patient addresses from clinical or claims data, geocode them to specific coordinates, and then link them to the relevant environmental measurements. This sounds straightforward but in practice you run into all sorts of issues with address quality, privacy concerns around precise geocoding, and the computational complexity of doing spatial operations at scale. You also need to think carefully about how to handle temporal aspects, because environmental exposures often have lag effects where the health impact shows up months or years after the exposure.

Clinical Notes and Social Determinants Extraction

The SDOH-NLI dataset represents a newer approach to extracting social determinants of health information from unstructured clinical notes using natural language inference. This is important because a lot of the most valuable information about social determinants is buried in clinical narratives rather than being coded in structured fields. Things like housing instability, food insecurity, and social isolation often get mentioned in clinical notes but never make it into the coded problem list or structured data fields that most analytics tools rely on. Having a labeled dataset for training NLP models to extract this information is genuinely useful for building better social determinants screening and risk stratification tools.

The business model here is probably selling to organizations that are already trying to do social determinants screening but finding that their current approaches are missing a lot of information. This might be health plans running complex care management programs, ACOs trying to identify high-risk beneficiaries for intervention, or social service organizations trying to connect people with resources. The value proposition is that by extracting SDOH information from clinical notes, you can identify needs and risks that would otherwise be invisible. You could build a

a SaaS product that ingests clinical notes through HL7 feeds or FHIR APIs, runs NLP models, and outputs structured SDOH data that can be consumed by other systems.

The integration challenge with clinical NLP is that you need access to clinical notes in the first place, which means getting business associate agreements in place and handling PHI appropriately. You also need to deal with the fact that clinical writing styles vary dramatically across organizations and even across individual clinicians. Models trained on public datasets may not perform as well on your customer's specific data. This means you probably need some capability for model fine-tuning or adaptation based on customer-specific data, which adds complexity to your product and deployment process.

Critical Care Research Databases and Academic Validation Opportunities

The MIMIC-IV and eICU databases represent a different category of public data that is particularly valuable for academic research and clinical algorithm validation. MIMIC-IV contains deidentified electronic health record data from over 40,000 admissions at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center covering the period from 2008 to 2019, while eICU includes data from over 200,000 ICU admissions across 208 hospitals. These datasets are incredibly rich, including everything from vital signs recorded every few minutes to lab results, medications, procedures, and clinical notes. The data is available for free but requires completing a training course on responsible use of clinical data and agreeing to a data use agreement.

The business opportunity with critical care databases like MIMIC and eICU is less about building commercial products directly on the data and more about using the data for algorithm validation and academic publication before commercializing those algorithms. If you are developing a sepsis prediction model or an ICU mortality score, you can validate it on MIMIC-IV and publish the results in peer-reviewed journals, which gives you credibility when you go to sell the commercial version to hospitals. The datasets are also incredibly valuable for training data scientists at

machine learning engineers who want to work in healthcare, since they provide realistic clinical data without the access restrictions of actual patient data.

One specific business model that leverages these databases is building a consulting services business around helping pharma companies and CROs design better clinical trials based on real-world ICU data. You could analyze MIMIC-IV to understand typical treatment patterns for a specific condition, identify realistic inclusion and exclusion criteria, and estimate likely enrollment rates and dropout rates. Pharma companies pay good money for this type of analysis because it can save them millions of dollars by helping them design trials that are more likely to succeed. The go-to market would be through existing relationships with clinical development teams at pharma companies or through partnerships with CROs who need this type of expertise.

The technical challenge with MIMIC-IV and eICU is that the data is messy and requires significant cleaning and preprocessing before you can use it for most applications. Clinical notes need to be parsed, timestamps need to be aligned, and there are numerous data quality issues that need to be addressed. The good news is that there is a large community of researchers who have already worked with these datasets and have published code and documentation on GitHub, so you are not starting from scratch. But you still need people on your team who understand clinical data and can navigate the complexities of ICU datasets.

Commercial EHR Data Platforms and the Real-World Evidence Gold Rush

Truveta represents a new model in commercial healthcare data, built as a collection of over 30 major US health systems including CommonSpirit, Providence, Trinity Health, and others. As of early 2025, Truveta now includes deidentified EHR data for over 120 million patients, which represents more than one-third of the US population. More importantly, they have just added linked closed claims data for over 200 million patients, creating one of the most comprehensive longitudinal patient datasets available commercially. The data is updated daily, includes clinical notes and me

images, and is structured to meet FDA regulatory standards for real-world evidence generation. Recently they also announced the Truveta Genome Project with Regeneron, which aims to sequence the exomes of 10 million volunteers and link genetic data to their EHR records.

The business opportunity with Truveta data is primarily in real-world evidence generation for pharma companies, medical device manufacturers, and payers. The data is used for post-market surveillance studies, comparative effectiveness research, health economics outcomes research, and clinical trial design optimization. The data is expensive to access, probably in the mid to high six figures annually depending on your use case, but it provides a level of completeness and representativeness that is hard to achieve with other data sources. If you are a pharma company trying to understand real-world treatment patterns for a specific indication, or a medical device company trying to demonstrate the effectiveness of your device in diverse patient populations, Truveta data is genuinely valuable.

The go-to-market challenge with Truveta is that you need to have relationships with pharma commercial teams, medical affairs, or health economics departments to get in the door. This is not a product you can sell through a website or a sales development rep cold calling. You need someone who understands the pharma business model, who can speak the language of real-world evidence, and who has credibility with the decision-makers. My recommendation would be to partner with established consulting firms or CROs who already have those relationships, rather than trying to build a direct sales motion from scratch.

The technical integration challenge is that Truveta provides data access through their own analytics platform rather than giving you raw data exports, which means you have to either do your analysis within their environment or export aggregated results. This is actually a feature not a bug from a privacy perspective, but it does mean you can't just download the data and use your own tools. They do provide APIs and support common analytics workflows, but there is definitely a learning curve.

The Incumbent Commercial Data Vendors and Their Stranglehold on Pharma

IQVIA, Symphony Health, and Komodo Health represent the incumbent commercial data vendors that have been selling healthcare data to pharma companies for decades in some cases. IQVIA is the gorilla in the space with their National Sales Perspectives and National Prescription Audit datasets that track pharmaceutical sales and prescriptions across retail, institutional, and mail-order channels. Symphony Health, now owned by ICON, provides similar prescription and medical claims data with coverage of over 300 million patients. Komodo Health positions itself as having the most comprehensive patient journey data with over 330 million patients and claims capture over 95% of medical claims and over 90% of prescription claims in the US.

These vendors are not selling raw data; they are selling curated datasets, analytics platforms, and often consulting services on top of the data. The business model is typically annual subscriptions that can range from hundreds of thousands to millions of dollars depending on the scope of data access and the level of service. The datasets include longitudinal patient-level data that has been deidentified and normalized, allowing pharma companies to track treatment patterns, understand switching behavior, identify prescriber characteristics, and measure market share.

The opportunity for startups here is not to compete head-to-head with these vendors but to build specialized applications on top of their data or to serve markets that these vendors ignore. For example, you could license data from IQVIA or Symphony Health and build a vertical-specific analytics platform for rare disease drug manufacturers who need specialized workflows that the general-purpose platforms do not provide. Or you could focus on medical device companies who have been underserved by these vendors historically because they focus primarily on prescription drugs. The key is finding a niche where the incumbents are not optimizing their product and where you can provide significantly better value to a specific customer segment.

The go-to-market challenge is that these incumbent vendors have deep relationships with pharma companies going back years or even decades, and switching costs are high. Your best bet is probably to focus on smaller or mid-size pharma companies.

who are not getting good service from the incumbents, or to focus on specific therapeutic areas where you can demonstrate unique expertise. You could also consider a partnership approach where you build applications that sit on top of incumbent platforms rather than trying to replace them entirely.

Synthesis: Building Defensible Businesses on Healthcare Data

Across all of these opportunities, there are some common themes that show up repeatedly. First, the highest value usually comes from combining public datasets with proprietary data sources rather than trying to build products on public data alone. Public data gives you a foundation and lets you demonstrate initial value, create truly differentiated products you need to layer in data that your competitors not have. Second, successful businesses built on healthcare data tend to be highly verticalized rather than horizontal platforms. The companies that win are the ones solving specific problems for specific customer segments rather than building general analytics tools that could theoretically be used by anyone. Third, go-to-market is harder than product development when you are working with healthcare data. If data access is tractable, the modeling is tractable, but actually finding customers who will pay for what you have built and integrating into their workflows is where most companies struggle.

One final thought on defensibility, because this is the question that investors always ask about businesses built on healthcare data. If everyone has access to the same public datasets, or if multiple vendors are selling similar commercial data, what protects you from competitors copying your product? The answer is that your moat comes from everything that is not the data itself: your domain expertise that lets you identify the right problems to solve, your product and user experience design that makes the solution accessible to non-technical users, your go-to-market relationships and partnerships that give you distribution advantages, your proprietary algorithms or data sources that you layer on top of the base datasets, and the operational workflows and integrations you build that make your product sticky once customers start using it. Healthcare

data is a starting point, not a destination, and the companies that understand this are the ones that will build sustainable businesses in this space.

The reality is that most healthcare data opportunities require either significant upfront capital to license commercial datasets, or significant technical expertise to properly clean and utilize public datasets, or both. The companies that succeed are the ones that can either raise enough venture capital to fund the upfront investment or that can find creative ways to bootstrap by starting with public data and progressively adding proprietary capabilities as they generate revenue. The angel investing opportunity here is identifying teams that have the right combination of domain expertise, technical capability, and go-to-market savvy to actually execute these opportunities rather than just having an idea about what could be built with data.

The opportunity in healthcare datasets is real but it requires a different approach than most venture-backed health tech companies are taking. You need to start with a specific problem and customer segment, use available data sources to build an initial solution, layer in proprietary capabilities to create differentiation, and focus relentlessly on go-to-market execution. If you can do all of that, there is genuine value to be created and captured. But if you think you can just download some public datasets or license some commercial data, train some models, and sell generic analytics software, you are going to have a hard time. The data might be accessible but the opportunities are not obvious, and that is exactly why they are worth pursuing.

If you are interested in joining my generalist healthcare angel syndicate, reach out to treysrawles@gmail.com or send me a DM. We don't take a carry and defer annual fees for six months so investors can decide if they see value before joining officially. Accredited investors only.



1 Like

← Previous

Next →

Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...